

Selected Writings of Rav Yosef Tzvi Salant Adapted into English

RABBI REFAEL WOLFE

The Timeless Torah

פורים

וַתִּקְרָא אֶסְתֵּר לַהֲתָךְ מִסְּרִיסֵי הַמֶּלֶךְ אֲשָׁר הֶעֲמִיד יּקָנְיָה וַתְּצַוּהוּ עַל מְהְדָּכִי לְדַעַת מַה זֶה וְעַל מֵה זֶה. Esther called to Hasach, one of the king's attendants who was assigned to assist her, and commanded him regarding Mordechai, to know what this was, and what this was about.¹

fter Haman and Achashverosh's harsh decree to exterminate the Jews was made known, Mordechai tore his clothes in mourning.² He wore sackcloth and ash. His relative, Esther, who was now the Queen of Persia, heard what Mordechai was doing. This distressed her very much. She sent an attendant to inquire of Mordechai about what he was doing, for she was unaware of the recent decree. The *Megillah* uses an interesting expression to describe her inquiry: "ה בית זה ועל מה" [She asked], 'What was this and what was this about?'"

Our Sages homiletically read these two "מה זה" as two "מזה" and therefore connect them to the verse of "מזה משני עבריהם משני עבריהם משני עבריהם משני עבריהם משני שברים לחת כתבים שלהת כתבים שלהת כתבים שלהת כתבים שלהת כתבים שלה Tablets containing the Ten Commandments were engraved from both sides; they were engraved through and through."⁴ Esther was alluding to another question she posed to Mordechai: Why

Based on Be'er Yosef, Esther 4:5, printed after sefer Shemos.

¹ *Esther* loc. cit.

² Ibid. v. 1.

³ Megillah 15a.

⁴ Shemos 32:15.

are you doing this? Perhaps the Jews transgressed the five books of the Torah, of which it is written, "they were engraved through and through"?

Now, it requires clarification what her intent was in mentioning that the Torah is engraved "through and through." What does it add that the Ten Commandments, representing the Torah,⁵ were engraved this way? What difference does it make? Furthermore, why did she stress "the five books of the Torah"? Why not just ask if the Jews transgressed the Torah?

One explanation can be suggested based on a discussion the Gemara has regarding the root cause of Haman's decree.⁶ The students of Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai asked him: Why were the Jews sentenced to complete annihilation? He responded by asking his students to offer a suggestion. They said it was because they benefited from the feast of Achashverosh. He responded that that would explain why the Jews in Shushan should be sentenced to death, but why were the Jews in the rest of the world given the same sentence? He suggested that it was because they bowed to Nebuchadnezzar's idol. His students asked how Hashem could then show them favoritism and spare them? He responded that although they outwardly bowed to the idol, it was only for show. They didn't mean it. As well, when Hashem orchestrated the decree, it was only for show.

However, alternate versions of this dialogue show that it was Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai who suggested their sin was benefiting from Achashverosh's feast.⁷ Why then were the rest of the Jews sentenced to death? Because all Jews are responsible for one another.⁸

A third Midrash also states that the reason for the harsh decree was because they benefited from his feast. Mordechai warned the Jews not

⁵ See Rashi, Shemos 24:12, quoting Rav Saadiah Gaon, that all the 613 mitzvos are included in the Ten Commandments. Rav Saadiah Gaon in his siddur actually categorized all the 613, indicating which of the ten they fall under. See also Baal Haturim, ibid. 20:14, quoting Bamidbar Rabbah 13:16.

⁶ Ibid. 12a.

⁷ Shir Hashirim Rabbah 7:13.

⁸ See Toras Kohanim, Vayikra 26:37; Sanhedrin 27b.

to go, but they didn't listen.⁹ According to this opinion, the punishment seems harsher than the crime. Let's say that the food wasn't kosher;¹⁰ does that warrant the destruction of the Jewish People?¹¹ As well, we can ask on the Jews themselves. It would seem that they practiced eating kosher food in their home, otherwise the claim against them wouldn't be limited to the feast of Achashverosh. If so, why in fact did they ignore Mordechai's warnings? Why did they partake of Achashverosh's feast?

It's possible to suggest that the reason for the Jews' slight infringement of the Torah was due to a fundamental mistake. They thought that since they were in exile, living in a non-Jewish country, it was impossible to observe the Torah properly. Anything that may drive a wedge between themselves and their overlords should be avoided. If the Jews would keep the Torah fully, it may cause anti-Semitism.¹² Perhaps this was the reason why the exile had been so harsh. This explains why many Jews intermarried in the exile after the First Temple was destroyed.¹³ If the Jews were offered the daughters of their non-Jewish neighbors and they stated that their religion forbids this, this could create greater enmity. It will cause the Jews untold pain and suffering! This was their mistake throughout the exile.

They also erred with the feast of Achashverosh. All the Jews of the capital city of Shushan had a problem. The entire known world was attending this party. They wanted to keep kosher, but if they didn't attend Achashverosh's feast, they'd be the odd ones out. It would very likely create the libel against the Jews that they're traitors to the government—that they aren't interested in joining in the king's celebration, and that's why they didn't show up. The result would be many harsh decrees and persecutions against the Jews. This is why the Jews ignored Mordechai's warning and went to the feast. They felt that it was their only chance for a safe existence in exile. Even though they

⁹ Esther Rabbah 7:14.

¹⁰ See *Shir Hashirim Rabbah* loc. cit., which says they ate food cooked by a non-Jew, which is only a Rabbinic prohibition.

¹¹ See the Be'er Yosef, Esther 1:4, who gives an alternate explanation than what is presented here.

¹² See Rashi, Eichah 1:21, based on Eichah Rabbah 1:56.

¹³ See the books of *Ezra* and *Nechemiah*, which discuss this problem.

definitely kept kosher in their homes, they felt they had no choice but to attend Achashverosh's feast. They thought they couldn't fully keep the Torah in exile.

The root of their claim was the Torah was only given to be fully observed when the Jews are living in Israel in tranquility. But this is a huge mistake. It's a terrible breach in the fundamentals of the Torah and the Jewish religion to claim that the Torah is dependent on a specific time and place. The Torah preceded the creation of the universe!¹⁴ The Torah is the blueprint of the universe.¹⁵ The Torah doesn't change in the tiniest way at any time or any place.

In fact, this could be the reason why the two tablets of the Ten Commandments were engraved through and through. This is to teach us that the words of Torah are eternal and apply in all times and in all ages. Ink can be erased, and regular engravings can wear out with the course of time. The engraving on the tablets can never be erased or wear out, as they go all the way through. This is to testify that the Torah will never be changed.

More than that, certain letters only existed in a miraculous fashion. The 'D and the 'D had their centers floating in midair.¹⁶ This was to teach that even though it shouldn't make logical sense that the Torah applies in all situations, even when there's a danger of anti-Semitism, the words of Torah exist outside of nature. They're beyond human reasoning. They were also miraculously able to be read from either side, no matter how you looked at them.¹⁷ This was to teach that the Torah applies in all places in the exact same way. No matter which direction the Jews may end up, the Torah will always apply.

This is what Esther was stressing when she asked if the Jews transgressed the five books of the Torah, of which it is written that they were engraved on the tablets through and through. She knew that the Jews wouldn't transgress the Torah brazenly and wantonly. She saw herself

¹⁴ See *Tehillim* 105:8, *Chagigah* 13b, and *Zevachim* 116a.

¹⁵ Bereishis Rabbah 1:1; Midrash Tanchuma, Bereishis § 1; Pirkei D'Rabbi Eliezer, chap. 3; Tanna D'Vei Eliyahu, chap. 31; Zohar, parashas Terumah, 2:161b.

¹⁶ Shabbos 104a.

¹⁷ Ibid., brought by Rashi, Shemos 32:15.

that they were all totally observant. In their homes, they kept all the details of the mitzvos with precision. However, she asked that perhaps the Jews outwardly transgressed the Torah.¹⁸ Maybe they thought that the Torah didn't apply in certain circumstances. They thought that they couldn't outwardly show observance in front of their non-Jewish neighbors. They could only be observant in their homes. She was expressing that if this is what they're doing, it's an obvious mistake, for the Torah isn't limited by space and time. Its words are engraved through and through.

She also stressed the five books of the Torah, instead of simply asking if they transgressed. Obviously, she didn't think they transgressed the *entire* Torah. The fact that the Jews are faulted for attending Achashverosh's feast proves that, in general, they were Torah observant. Rather, her intent was to ask if they *fully* observed the Torah—from beginning to end, in every facet of their lives. Did they think that the Torah was only meant to be fully observed in the land of Israel, but in exile exceptions could be made? It cannot be! The five books of the Torah, represented by the two stone tablets, were engraved through and through. The Torah, without exception, is timeless and applies in all situations. It's ours to fulfill, no matter what the circumstances are.

¹⁸ The Be'er Yosef echoes the choice of language used by Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai: "הם לא עשו". אלא לפנים."